

Bethany School
Draft WHISTLEBLOWING POLICY
March 2026

This policy has been written with regard to Working Together to Safeguard Children (2015) which states, *'organisations should have...clear whistleblowing procedures, which reflect the principles in Sir Robert Francis's Freedom to Speak Up review and are suitably referenced in staff training and codes of conduct.*

INTRODUCTION

This policy applies to all employees and governors. Other individuals performing functions in relation to the organisation, such as agency workers and contractors, should have access to it

It is important to Bethany school that any fraud, misconduct or wrongdoing by employees or Governors of the school is reported and properly dealt with. The Governing Body will, therefore, respond to all individuals who raise any genuine concerns that they may have about the serious wrong-doing of others in the school, **which are in the public interest**. This policy sets out the way in which individuals may raise any concerns that they have and how those concerns will be dealt with.

Bethany School expects the highest standards of conduct from all employees and Governors and will treat seriously any concern raised about illegal or improper conduct.

Any individual covered by this policy will be expected, through agreed procedures and without fear of recrimination, to bring to the attention of the Head teacher (or the Chair of Governors if the concerns relate to the Head teacher) any serious impropriety or breach of procedure.

Employees who do not follow the steps identified in this procedure or other agreed internal procedures, and take their concerns to other outside sources (e.g. the press), may be subject to a formal disciplinary investigation.

BACKGROUND

The law provides protection for employees who raise legitimate concerns about specified matters. These are called 'qualifying disclosures' A qualifying disclosure is one made in the public interest by the employee who has a reasonable belief that:

- A criminal offence
- A miscarriage of justice
- An act creating risk to health and safety
- An act causing damage to the environment
- Corruptly receiving any gift or advantage, thus failing to comply with the Bribery Act 2010
- Allowing private interests to override the interests of the school
- A breach of any legal obligation
- Misuse of *public* funds; or
- Concealment of any of the above

...is being, has been, or is likely to be, committed. It is not necessary for the employee to have proof that such an act is being, has been, or is likely to be committed,- a reasonable belief is sufficient. The employee has no responsibility for investigating the matter; it is the school's responsibility to ensure that an investigation takes place

Where the concerns are about **safeguarding children or young people**, the school's Designated Safeguarding Lead should be notified (see section below).

It is a procedure in which the Head teacher or Chair of Governors will be expected to act swiftly and constructively in the investigation of any concerns in accordance with the school's disciplinary procedure.

Concern about a colleague's professional capability should **not** be dealt with using this procedure (but see Safeguarding section). Whistleblowing should **not** be used for concerns about the **conduct** of individuals, but for systemic or policy failures.

WHEN SHOULD IT BE USED?

This procedure is for disclosures about matters other than a breach of an employee's own contract of employment. If an employee is concerned that his/her own contract has been, or is likely to be, broken he/she should use the school's Complaints procedures.

Where a disclosure is merely an expression of opinion that fails to show that a legal obligation has been or is likely to be breached, it **cannot** amount to a protected or qualifying disclosure for the purposes of the whistle blowing legislation

This procedure is not designed to replace or be used as an alternative to the complaints procedure, which should be used where an employee is only aggrieved about his/her own situation. Nor should this policy apply where the employee simply disagrees with the way the school is run.

Employees must have reasonable grounds for believing the information they have is accurate and not just idle gossip or rumour.

An employee who makes such a protected disclosure has the right not to be dismissed, subject to any other detriment, or victimised, because he/she has made a disclosure, provided it has not been made maliciously. Any employee who uses this procedure will not be penalised for doing so. The employer will not tolerate harassment and/or victimisation of any employee raising concerns.

Employees must report any suspicion of fraud, corruption or other financial irregularity to the Head teacher or Chair of Governors/Governors.

PRINCIPLES

Any matter raised under this procedure will be investigated thoroughly, promptly and confidentially, and the outcome of the investigation reported back to the employee who raised the issue.

No employee will be victimised for raising a matter under this procedure. This means that the continued employment and opportunities for future promotion or training of the employee will not be prejudiced because he/she has raised a legitimate concern.

Victimisation of a worker for raising a qualified disclosure will be a disciplinary offence.

If misconduct is discovered as a result of any investigation under this procedure the matter will be considered under the disciplinary procedure, in addition to any appropriate external measures.

Maliciously making a false allegation is a disciplinary offence.

An instruction to cover up wrongdoing is in itself a disciplinary offence. If told not to raise or pursue any concern, even by a person in authority, employees should not agree to remain silent.

PROCESS

- Identifying something might be wrong
- Raising a concern
- Examining the facts

- Outcomes and feedback
- Reflecting and moving forward

PROCEDURE

In the first instance, once something has been identified, any concerns should be raised with the Head teacher. Unless the employee reasonably believes his/her Head teacher to be involved in the wrongdoing, then the employee should proceed straight to the Governors.

The Head teacher/Chair of Governors/Governors/ will arrange an investigation into the matter (either by investigating the matter himself/herself or immediately passing the issue to someone in a senior position). The investigation may involve the employee and others involved giving written statements. Any investigation will be carried out in accordance with the principles set out above. The employee's statement will be taken into account and he/she will be asked to comment on any additional evidence obtained.

Employees who want to use the procedure but feel uneasy about it may wish to bring a colleague along to any discussions, so long as the third party is not involved in the issue.

Where anonymity is requested efforts will be made to meet the request where appropriate but that might not always be possible. The earlier and more open the expression of concern the easier it will be to take appropriate action.

The Head teacher (or the person who carried out the investigation) will then report to the Chair of Governors/Governing Body who will take the necessary action. If disciplinary action is required this will be taken forward by the Head teacher/Chair of Governors/Governing Body. At the conclusion of any investigation, the employee will be told the outcome of the investigation and what the next steps will be. If no action is to be taken the reason for this will be explained.

Internal processes should always be followed first; however, if the employee is concerned that his/her Head teacher is involved in the wrongdoing, has failed to make a proper investigation or has failed to report the outcome of the investigation, for whatever reason, have the option of contacting one of the following:

- The Local Authority Designated Officer
- HM Revenue and Customs
- The Financial Services Authority
- The Office of Fair Trading
- The Health and Safety Executive
- The Environment Agency
- The Director of Public Prosecutions
- The Serious Fraud Office
- The Department for Education

WHAT SHOULD BE DONE IF AN ISSUE IS RAISED WITH A MEMBER OF STAFF?

If a member of staff, other than the Head teacher, is approached by a colleague on a matter of concern as defined in this document, he/she is advised to take the matter to the Head teacher.

SAFEGUARDING CHILDREN AND YOUNG PEOPLE

All employees have a duty to report concerns about the safety and welfare of pupils/students. This includes Low level concerns as described in 'Keeping Children Safe in Education' and the schools Code of conduct policy.

Concerns about any of the following conduct should be reported to the Designated Safeguarding Lead (DSL):

- physical abuse of a pupil/student
- sexual abuse of a pupil/student
- emotional abuse of a pupil/student
- neglect of a pupil/student
- an intimate or improper relationship between an adult and a pupil/student
- poor or unsafe practice and potential failures in the schools safeguarding regime including if you feel genuine safeguarding concerns are not being addressed

The school's DSL is Mr D Charles.

The reason for the concern may be the actions of a colleague (including a more senior colleague), a Governor, another pupil or someone outside the school. Whatever the reason, concerns must be reported. Failure to report a Child Protection related allegation will be in itself, a disciplinary matter.

You may wish to contact the NSPCC's Whistleblowing helpline for advice (0800 028 0285).

LAW RELATING TO THIS DOCUMENT

Employment Rights Act 1996
Public Interest Disclosures Act 1998

The legislation protecting individuals who make a protected disclosure applies not only to employees, but also to any person who undertakes to do or perform personally (or otherwise) any work or service for the employer, regardless of the nature of the contractual relationship between them.

A Whistleblowing Policy should establish the procedure for an employee to follow if he/she has a genuine concern about a colleague's conduct or the organisation's practices. The Whistleblowing Policy should make clear what sort of allegations will count as a protected disclosure and should allow for the employee to raise these concerns with a nominated person and set out the steps that the employer will take in response.

A qualifying disclosure means any disclosure of information that in the reasonable belief of the worker is made in the public interest. The requirement that a Whistle-blower make a qualifying disclosure 'in good faith' has been removed. Therefore, while the employer can seek a declaration from the Whistle-blower that he or she is not knowingly making false allegations, disciplinary action is likely to be appropriate only where there is clear evidence that the employee has misused the whistle blowing procedure. A consequence of the requirement that a disclosure be made in the public interest is that an employee will generally be precluded from being able to 'blow the whistle' about breaches of his or her employment contract.

Section 43J of the Employment Rights Act 1996 provides that a Settlement Agreement made between an employee and employer cannot prevent future protected disclosures.

Any confidentiality obligations in contracts of employment that would prevent an employee making a protected disclosure will be void.

STAFF AWARENESS

All staff will be made aware of this policy. It will be suitably referenced in staff training and codes of conduct.

Policy Adopted by Governors: March 2026
Policy Due for Review on: 2029